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The vision for NJ Student Learning Standards for Social Studies (2020)

An education in social studies fosters a population that:

• Is **civic-minded**, globally aware, and **socially responsible**;
• Exemplifies fundamental **values of democracy and human rights** through active participation in local, state, national, and global communities;
• Makes **informed decisions** about local, state, national, and global events based on inquiry and analysis;
• Considers **multiple perspectives**, values diversity, and promotes cultural understanding;
• Recognizes the relationships between people, places, and resources as well as the implications of an interconnected global economy;
• Applies an understanding of **critical media literacy skills** when utilizing technology to learn, communicate, and collaborate with diverse people around the world; and
• Discerns fact from falsehood and **critically analyzes information** for validity and relevance.
How do we help our students to become informed and reasoned decision-makers?
An effective social studies curriculum cannot avoid controversial issues!

Nor should we try to avoid them…
Why should we teach controversial issues?

- Democratic self-government requires constant discussions and decisions about controversial issues.
- Silence about controversial issues makes it more likely that bad policies will prevail.
- There is an intrinsic and crucial connection between the discussion of controversial political issues and the health of democracy.
- You cannot have democracy without discussing controversial issues.
- Therefore, we need to teach our students how to “do” democracy by practicing the skills of discussing controversial issues in the classroom.

Research shows

Discussing current controversial public issues:

- Is authentic and relevant
- Enhances sense of political efficacy
- Improves critical thinking skills
- Increases students comfort with conflict that exists in the world outside of classroom
- Develops political tolerance
- Motivates students
- Results in students gaining greater content knowledge

Problems Facing Teachers

- How to ensure civil discourse within the classroom and a positive school and classroom climate
- How to protect the classroom from outside partisan pressures
- How to teach about controversial issues and elections during a highly partisan era
- How to emphasize that which unites us as Americans
- How to ensure students are civically literate
- Are there any problems that we missed and you want to add?
What Are Controversial Issues?

- Any public issue that defies an easy solution and may challenge deeply held beliefs or interests
- Issues that test the balance between individual freedom and safety or order for the common good
- What are some controversial issues that you are encountering or expect to encounter?
Critical Race Theory

- Since the 1980s, Critical Race Theory has become a growing movement in the study of law. It’s a graduate-level academic framework that encompasses decades of scholarship. It’s focused on institutions and their historical impact on race.
- Nobody is teaching “Critical Race Theory” in middle or high school.
- The phrase has come to mean teaching about race, period. And teaching about the role of race in American history is likely to make some people uncomfortable, as it juxtaposes the historical record against a preferred narrative of America as an unblemished success and challenges their sense of identity.
- It is impossible to accurately teach U.S. history or civics without addressing issues of race.
Redlining map by the HOLC of Essex County from the 1930s
An Ounce of Prevention: Preparing for Controversy

- School policies
- Your community
- Communicating with your school administrators
- Classroom climate
- Sharing your opinions

An Ounce of Prevention is Worth a Pound of Cure
- Benjamin Franklin
In addition to hiring and evaluating the school superintendent, school boards work primarily through policies, which set guidelines for principals, teachers, parents and students.

School policies are written, public records.

Your school should have a policy that:
  ○ supports and encourages the teaching of controversial issues, usually policy #2240
  ○ sets guidelines for teaching controversial issues, including a process for dealing with challenges
Look at your school policy on teaching controversial issues

- [https://www.straussesmay.com/seportal/Public/DistrictPolicy.aspx?policyid=2240&id=7286f1c73007451c9e06cc5042ad218f](https://www.straussesmay.com/seportal/Public/DistrictPolicy.aspx?policyid=2240&id=7286f1c73007451c9e06cc5042ad218f) (New Brunswick)
- [https://drive.google.com/file/d/19QQmnwbbgZI8GDv_bIbCt7NbTbuRJjkl/view](https://drive.google.com/file/d/19QQmnwbbgZI8GDv_bIbCt7NbTbuRJjkl/view) (Paterson)
- [https://westfield.schoolboard.net/sites/nj.westfield.schoolboard.net/files/Policies%20-%20Attachment%20%231_24.pdf](https://westfield.schoolboard.net/sites/nj.westfield.schoolboard.net/files/Policies%20-%20Attachment%20%231_24.pdf) (Westfield)

More recently adopted policies on controversial issues provide more guidance.

What key words or ideas do you see?

- Balanced, unprejudiced, no indoctrination, fair, objective

Look at your district’s policy. Advocate for one if none exists--Use these as models.
Know Your Community

● Do you live in the community where you teach?
● If not, google the town where you teach to get an idea about the demographics
● Look at the local newspaper or online media
● How might this knowledge help you?
Know What Your Objectives Are

- Classroom activities should encourage critical thinking
- You are not trying to convince students of any particular point of view
- Be able to articulate why you are using or discussing controversial materials—it may be to demonstrate a prevailing view of a past time period
- Preview any materials, especially visual media which may be very powerful or provocative
- Be aware of biased sources of information
Talk With Your Administrators

- Plan in advance: Tell your supervisor and/or principal that you plan to teach about the upcoming election or another controversial event or issue
- Refer your supervisor/principal to your school policy about Teaching Controversial Issues, #2240
- Refer your supervisor/principal to your district’s stated mission which is usually to create critical thinkers who are informed, engaged citizens
- Note the state social studies standards which are extremely broad and progressive and encourage teaching controversial issues and critical thinking activities
- Explain the value of what you are planning to teach
- If you anticipate controversy send a note and/or talk with parents/parents organizations
Create a Respectful Classroom Climate

• Establish a process and rules of adequate evidence or support so that discussion is based on facts rather than simply opinions

• Use activities that require students to actively listen when considering controversial issues

• Carefully consider how students should be grouped

• Provide closure, which may simply be an acknowledgement of the difficulty of the issue
Should you disclose your viewpoint?

- Be mindful of your position as the “classroom expert” and the potential impact on the students. Personal views should probably not be shared with elementary or middle school classes. There are also arguments for not sharing with high school classes.

- Sometimes, students may directly ask for your opinion. If you prefer NOT to disclose your view, explicitly state that and explain why.

- If you decide to disclose your own views, do it carefully and ONLY AFTER students have expressed their views.

- Disclosure should be accompanied by a disclaimer
  - This is my view because...
  - Other people may have different views

- Unrequested disclosures may be seen as preachy, or may stop the discussion contrary to the goal of having students investigate and develop their own informed opinions. Unrequested disclosures may also be viewed as efforts to indoctrinate your students.
Teach in a neutral, balanced manner that does not try to indoctrinate students

- First Amendment protection of academic freedom for teachers is not absolute: teachers must be teaching within the state standards and within their local school curriculum. (E.g., *Kirkland v. Northside Ind. School District*, 1989: a teacher has no right to substitute his own book list for the one approved by the district without permission or consent.)

- New Jersey has taken a very broad approach to classroom content, with standards setting a framework for each content area, but unlike many other states which establish a state curriculum, leaving significant control to local school boards.

- NJ teachers are protected as long as what they are teaching is within the state standards and the local curriculum and is being taught in a neutral, balanced manner that does not seek to indoctrinate students.
Start with American Ideals

- American ideals are a source of unity for a diverse nation and provide an important context for civic education.

- Focusing on American ideals places valid parameters on classroom discussions, rather than opening classroom discussions to random statements potentially disruptive of the school climate.

- Basing instruction on American ideals in founding documents (especially the Constitution) provides a non-partisan basis for responding to any outside advocacy or pressure groups. *It is crucial that instruction be non-partisan.*

- American ideals can form the basis for inquiry-based lessons and provide a reference point for questions and discussions during the year that can be revisited. It can facilitate the infusion of civic education across the curriculum.
American Ideals: The Process

First Inquiry: Identify what ideals define and unite us as Americans

- Brainstorm the concept of “American ideals”
- Review documents (e.g., U.S. Constitution) and identify ideals in them
- Develop a class consensus regarding 5-6 most important American ideal

Second Inquiry: Application

- The American ideals identified can be used by your students to determine which candidate best articulates and supports these ideals
- The American ideals identified can be used with other controversial issues to determine which policies best reflect American ideals
What Are “Ideals”? 

- Define what is meant by the word, “ideals”. Students may confuse these with practices and policies.

- Ideals may be defined as those values and principles that are the *ultimate goal or aim* of our founding documents and institutions and which define the best of America.

- For example, limited government is an ideal, checks and balances is the practice to help achieve limited government.

- Democracy is an ideal, voting is a practice.

- The rule of law is an ideal, establishing a system of courts is a practice.
What Are “American Ideals”? 

At your tables:

- Look at the U.S. Constitution
- As you review, identify the 5 or 6 ideals that you think are the most important
- Select one individual at each table to share the ideals you identified with the whole class
Possible List of American Ideals

- Democracy
- Liberty (negative conception)
- Limited Government
- Equality
  - Of opportunity, both political and economic
  - Equal protection under the laws
- Property Rights

* This is just one possible list developed by a group of teachers doing this activity. Many others are possible.
Second Inquiry Based On Oaths of Office

- Guide class to inquiry question regarding which candidate best articulates and supports the identified American ideals from the Constitution he/she will swear to uphold.

- Interpret documents and candidate statements, comparing candidates to ideals.

- State and defend conclusions, with evidence.
On Inauguration Day, the president swears a solemn oath to uphold the Constitution: *I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the office of President of the United States, and will to the best of my ability, preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States.*

What ideals from the Constitution should the president uphold? Which candidate best expresses those ideals?
The Constitution (Article VI, clause 3) requires that members of Congress swear a solemn oath to uphold the Constitution. Each candidate hopes to take this oath in January. What ideals does he/she implicitly agree to defend and uphold? Which candidate best articulates these ideals?

The oath: *I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter: So help me God.*

What ideals from other founding documents should members of Congress uphold?
Sources of information about candidates

- Today information is readily available from multiple media sources but most sources are not balanced.
- Sources of positions by candidates:
  - Media—does it have a political perspective?
  - Political Parties—clearly have a political perspective!
  - Organizations—sometimes “grassroots” organizations are funded by big money
  - Party Platforms
  - Candidates position papers
- Sources must be assessed—MEDIA LITERACY
- Consider more than ONE source and compare them.
New Jersey Student Mock Election

- For students in grades 4-12
- Designed for either remote or in-school instruction. Student privacy carefully protected.
- Voting procedures – digital or paper ballots available
- Voting dates: Two weeks before the actual election
- Web site and resources: [http://njmockelection.org/](http://njmockelection.org/)
- Results mapped by legislative district and grade band. These can be the basis for a post-election lesson.
- Help your students get in the habit of being an informed voter in *every* election.

CIVIL DISCOURSE REQUIRES ACTIVE LISTENING

The biggest communication issue is we do not listen to understand.

We listen to reply.
Rules for Civil Discourse in the Classroom

- At your tables, discuss what classroom rules might encourage greater civil discourse

- Share your thoughts

- Involve your students in such a discussion: Research indicates that students are more likely to follow rules when they are part of the rule-making process
Multiple Perspectives

- What is the importance of multiple perspectives in social studies and what should that look like, both regarding content and instruction?

- Are there any perspectives that should not be given legitimacy in the classroom?

- What would you do if a student said something that could be considered unacceptable (bigoted, homophobic, racist, sexist, etc.)
Civil Discourse in the Classroom

- Wait to be recognized by the teacher before speaking.
- Don’t interrupt or talk over someone else who is speaking.
- Listen for content in the statements of others, even if you disagree. Don’t engage in side conversations that distract from the speaker who has the floor.
- Don’t assume that you know what someone else means. Ask questions that help you understand perspectives different from your own.
- Relate your comments to those of previous speakers.
- Don’t get personal. No demeaning or inappropriate comments, facial expressions, or gestures.
- Differentiate between facts and opinions. Both are valid when expressed appropriately.
- Listen more than you speak.

Source: United States Courts: Guidelines for a Civil Discussion
Classroom Strategies for Building Skills for Civil Discourse

- Continuum/Take a stand
- Active Listening/Civil Conversations
- Moot courts—structured format for considering constitutional issues
- Inquiry Questions
- Philosopher’s Stone
- Guided discussions
- Socratic Seminars
- Philosophical Chairs discussion
- Legislative hearings—structured format for considering solutions to problems
- Structured debates
Take a Stand/Continuum

- Can use with any controversial topic—e.g., immigration, reparations for racial discrimination, fracking, Electoral College, hate speech on social media, etc.

- Phrase a question as either/or (yes or no, agree or disagree)—e.g., Should the Electoral College be abolished? Should fracking be illegal? Should we establish a process for immigrants who came to the U.S. without proper documentation to become citizens?
Take a Stand/Continuum

- Ask the students to stand to one side of you if they agree and to the other if they disagree. You can ask those who are unsure to stand in the middle. The stronger the opinion, the farther the students move from the center.
- Now the students have taken a stand and formed a continuum of views. Turn and face the line.
- Ask those at either ends of the line to explain WHY they take their position.
- There are no rebuttals, students explain their views (why) and listen to others.
- If you hear a viewpoint that does not fit with where the student stands, have the student move to an appropriate spot.
- Ask those in the middle who were unsure if what they heard helped them decide and ask them to move to the appropriate spot.
- Ask others if they changed their views based on what they heard and ask them to move to the appropriate spot.
Should the federal government offer a guaranteed minimum income?

- Those who agree (YES), stand to the right
- Those who disagree (NO), stand to the left
- Those who are unsure, stand in the middle
- Ask those at either ends of the line to explain WHY they take their position
- There are no rebuttals, students explain their views (why) and listen to others
- If you hear a viewpoint that does not fit with where the student stands, have the student move to an appropriate spot
- Ask those in the middle who were unsure if what they heard helped them decide and ask them to move to the appropriate spot
- Ask others if they changed their views based on what they heard and ask them to move to the appropriate spot
The value of doing the continuum is that students are listening, reflecting on what they hear, explaining their views, and perhaps changing their views based on what they hear from others. They are not debating.

Afterwards, you might have the class do research and see if some additional information causes some students to change their initial opinions.
What is Active Listening?

- Eye contact, Nodding, Shaking head?
- Saying yes or no?
- Seeking information: asking a question?
- Seeking confirmation: paraphrasing or paraphrasing?
Directions: Active Listening/Civil Conversations

- Select a controversial issue—gun control, health care, reparations, guaranteed minimum income, carbon taxes, NATO, border security, almost anything with two (or more) sides (but start by simplifying it to two)

- Place 4-8 chairs in front of the classroom, 2 rows facing each other

- Form teams of two, three or four people

- First person states viewpoint and briefly explains why

- No one interrupts

- Opposite side takes turn making argument

- Before person across from him or her can respond, must in some way restate his or her understanding of what has been said.
Should the U.S. government provide reparations for slavery?

- Form two rows of chairs with one row arguing yes and the other arguing no.
- The first person arguing for the idea states his or her position and *briefly* explains why.
- No one interrupts.
- Opposite side takes turn making argument.
- Before the person starting with the opposing view can respond, he or she *must in some way restate his or her understanding of what has been said.*
Debrief: Active Listening/Civil Conversations

- This can be a bit tedious because we do not normally take the time to acknowledge and give feedback for each statement made. However, it is serious active listening practice.

- Value: explaining, listening, reflecting and changing views. Not debating.

- You might have the class do research and see if opinions have changed
Moot Courts

• A moot court is a mock appellate court hearing
• The court, composed of a panel of judges or justices, is asked to rule on a lower court’s decision
• No witnesses are called, nor are the basic facts of the case in dispute
• The focus is on the application, fairness or constitutionality of the law
• Each side presents arguments for consideration by the judges
• A moot court is an effective strategy for focusing student attention on the underlying principles and concepts of justice
Mini Moot Court

Ensures that every student is involved in the moot court, by having students work in groups of three.

Set Up:

1. Make sure that everyone understands the factual and legal background.

2. Divide your class into triads (groups of three) by counting by 3s or assigning student to roles.

3. In each group of three, one person is the judge or justice, one the attorney for the appellant/petitioner (bringing the appeal) and one the attorney for the respondent (responding)
Should colleges and universities continue affirmative action programs?

This question is current before the U.S. Supreme Court: *Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College and Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. University of North Carolina*
What is Affirmative Action?

Federal policies requiring that businesses and universities receiving federal funding undertake active measures ("affirmative action") to remedy past discrimination and ensure that African-Americans and other minorities enjoy the same opportunities for promotions, salary increases, career advancement, school admissions, scholarships, and financial aid that had been the nearly exclusive province of whites.
Background: Affirmative Action

- Began in 1961 with Pres. Kennedy’s Exec. Order 10925, which mandated that federally funded projects take "affirmative action" to insure that hiring and employment practices were free of racial bias.

- Broadened to include women, Native Americans, Hispanics, and other minorities and extended to colleges and universities and state and federal agencies under the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Pres. Johnson’s Ex. Order 11246.

- *Regents of the University of California v. Bakke* (1978), U.S. Supreme Court ruled (5–4) that quotas may not be used to reserve places for minority applicants but allowed colleges to use race as a factor in making admissions decisions.

- In two companion cases at the University of Michigan in 2003, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the constitutionality of affirmative action when race was considered as one of many factors (*Grutter v. Bollinger*) but struck down admissions policy that awarded points to students on the basis of race (*Gratz v. Bollinger*).
The Case Background

- Student for Fair Admissions argued that affirmative action is racial discrimination and violated the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment. They claim that affirmative action was envisioned as a temporary remedy that would end once there was a "level playing field" for all Americans and that time has come.

- Harvard and North Carolina Universities responded that affirmative action was held to be constitutional in the *Grutter* decision, the playing field is not level, there is a value in having diversity at universities, and affirmative action is still needed.

- The equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment requires the government (including government funded universities) to treat every person equally.
The questions to be answered

- Is affirmative action consistent with the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment?
- Is affirmative action fair?
- What has been the impact of affirmative action?
- What would the impact be if affirmative action were discontinued?
- Should the policy of affirmative action in college and university acceptance be continued or discontinued?
The hearing and decision

- **Preparation:** attorneys prepare their arguments; judges prepare several questions they may want to ask

- **Hearing:**
  - Attorneys make brief 2 minute arguments (Attorneys for Students for Fair Admission go first because they are the ones appealing the decision from the First Circuit)
  - Judges may interrupt with 1-2 short questions (you might have time for more in your classroom)

- **Decision:** After the arguments have been made, ask all of the judges to come to the front of the room and have an “open court hearing” where the judges discuss their opinions and reasons. Some judges may decide to change their views after they have heard from their colleagues.
Mini moot court directions

Count by 3s:

1s = attorneys arguing for Harvard University and University of North Carolina

2s = attorneys arguing for Students for Fair Admissions

3s = judges
Debriefing

- Debrief the activity by discussing the actual ruling in the case, if a decision has been rendered, or the strongest and weakest arguments if a decision is still pending, or if it is a purely hypothetical case.

- A moot court is a very structured way for students to consider issues of fairness within a constitutional context and also to gain an understanding of how appellate courts function.
Inquiry Question from *We the People: The Citizen and the Constitution*

Presidential power has increased dramatically since the early 1930s. What factors have contributed to that growth of power? On balance, is the growth of presidential power a healthy or a detrimental development? Why?

- How is the system of checks and balances designed to limit the exercise of presidential power and how well does it work?

- How can public opinion affect presidential power?
Background - Presidential Power

- In Federalist #70, A. Hamilton argued for the necessity of an “energetic executive.”
- Since the 1930’s, Presidents have acted with great energy, especially in times of national emergency.
- Here are some examples:
  - FDR - New Deal; World War II powers
  - Truman - Undeclared Korean War
  - Kennedy - Escalation in Vietnam
  - Johnson - Great Society; Gulf of Tonkin
  - Nixon - Cambodia; Watergate
  - Reagan - Iran-Contra Affair
  - H.W. Bush - Panama; Persian Gulf War
  - Clinton - Undeclared War in Bosnia
  - W. Bush - Iraq War; Terrorist Surveillance
  - Obama - Drone Strikes; DACA
  - Trump - Russian Inter.; Election Denial

Has the expansion of presidential power created an imbalance in our system of checks and balances?
Oral Hearing - Presidential Power

Designed for groups of 3-4

**Step 1. Delegation of Responsibility:** Intra-group discussion determines responsibility for each student/question in the prompt.

**Step 2. Individual Paragraph:** Each student drafts an individual paragraph for their delegated responsibility. Emphasize: clear answer to the question asked, *factual* support, and reasoning.

**Step 3. Prepared Statement Development:** Students collaborate to finalize a three-paragraph prepared statement.

**Step 4. Hearings:** Each group orally presents their prepared 3-minute statement. All group members expected to speak. A panel of students listen, then questions the presenting group for a maximum of 4 minutes. After the questioning, the panel offer the presenting group constructive feedback.
Today’s Oral Hearing Activity - Step 1

Each table will constitute a group. 3 members at that table should be selected to present.

The group will address only the opinion part of the prompt - “On balance, is the growth of presidential power a healthy or a detrimental development? Why?”

Your Goal - directly answer the question asked; offer factual support for your answer; demonstrate reasonable connections between the answer and the factual support.

Give each table a number (1 or 2) The number will ultimately determine whether your group presents your statement or acts as a panel.

Identify a timekeeper for each table.
Today’s Oral Hearing Activity - Step 2

Step 4 - Oral Hearings.

● Arrange your seats facing members of your neighboring group.
● Group number 1 presents their prepared statement in a maximum of two minutes:
● Group number 2 acts as panel - listens to the presentation and offer follow-up with questions.
● The Q&A session is a maximum of three minutes.
● Timekeeper from group number 1 calls time.
● Finally, the panel (group 2) offers the presenting group (1) constructive feedback.
Defense, Prevention, and Guard Rails

- **Defense** - The structure is the first layer of teacher’s defense against accusations of bias. **Student Groups** - *Determine the direction of the arguments*

- **Teachers** – *Determine the framework that encourages civil discourse*

- **Prevention** - How can you ensure that students will not pursue arguments that veer off the academic road, into a ditch, or worse, off a cliff? Three forms of *accountability* provide the guardrails.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Mates</th>
<th>Teacher Assessment</th>
<th>Classmates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration/Consensus</td>
<td>Incentives for Clear Assertions</td>
<td>Presented openly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument of 3 or 4</td>
<td>Factual Support</td>
<td>Audience of peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never an argument of 1</td>
<td>Rational Connection</td>
<td>Confirmation Bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constructive Feedback</td>
<td>Uncertain/Unlikely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Debrief - Oral Hearing

• Can a version of this activity be implemented in your curriculum?

• How would you alter or adjust the activity in any way?
We the People: The Citizen and the Constitution

- Initiated in 1987 as part of the bicentennial of the Constitution
- Materials for upper elementary, middle and high school classes, updated every decade
- One of the few social studies programs repeatedly subjected to independent evaluations, which showed that participating students:
  - made significantly greater gains than comparison students in their understanding of core values and principles of democracy, constitutional limits on governmental institutions, and rights and responsibilities of citizenship
  - improved their civic skills, including their ability to analyze issues, debate, persuade, and achieve group consensus
  - “significantly outperformed comparison students” on civics tests, displayed greater political tolerance and were more likely to vote
- Annual statewide We the People competition held each winter at Rutgers University—the state winner does to a national competition in April
Citizen Action

- Project Citizen
- iCivics: Local Solutions Civic Action
- Newseum
- Generation Citizen
- Youth-Led Participatory Action Research
- Mitkvah Challenge
- Human Rights Educators USA
- NJ Dept. of Education—climate change
- Agency for Toxic Substances—identifying risks
A study by the LBJ School of Public Affairs at the University of Texas found that students who participated in *Project Citizen*:

- developed a greater understanding of the complexity of public policy
- developed a commitment to active citizenship
- learned important communication skills
Process for doing projects:

1. Understand public policy
2. Identify a problem in the community that requires a public policy solution
3. Gather and evaluate information on the problem
4. Examine and evaluate alternative solutions
5. Develop a proposed public policy to address the problem
6. Develop an action plan to get their policy adopted by government
7. Organize the materials into a portfolio to present to the appropriate governmental agency and to share at the annual State Project citizen Showcase
8. Reflect on the learning experience individually and as a class.
The NJ Center for Civic Education sponsors an Annual Statewide Project Citizen Showcase the first week of June.

Classes of students present their projects to groups of evaluators (primarily educators) and to each other.

Highest scoring middle and high school projects are sent to a National Project Citizen Showcase held digitally in July.

See some of the projects at https://civiced.rutgers.edu/programs/project-citizen/project-citizen-project-citizen-portfolios-lne
Go to our website for additional resources

Contact us at:

- Arlene Gardner at arlenega@sas.rutgers.edu
- Robert O’Dell at ro205@scarletmail.rutgers.edu
- Craig Uplinger at cupling2@gmail.com